



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,368	09/17/2003	Jens Ulrik Poulsen	6133.224-US	4554

7590 04/13/2009
Reza Green, Esq.
Novo Nordisk Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
100 College Road West
Princeton, NJ 08540

EXAMINER

LUBIN, VALERIE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3626

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

04/13/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/664,368	Applicant(s) POULSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner VALERIE LUBIN	Art Unit 3626	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) VALERIE LUBIN. (3) Wesley Nicolas.

(2) Luke Gilligan. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 01 April 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,4 and 7-9.

Identification of prior art discussed: Castellano U.S. Patent 5,536,249 and Worthington U.S. Patent 5,822,715.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 1) Foreign priority contained in parent application; 2) Possible amendments to overcome 112 rejection; 3) Discussed teachings of prior art and amendments to possibly distinguish claims over prior art; 4) Discussed possible interference with Galley U.S. Patent 6,544,212.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/VALERIE LUBIN/ Examiner, Art Unit 3626	
--	--